arXiv:0910.2260v1 [math.AP] 12 Oct 2009

Global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing, cubic, nonlinear Schrödinger equation when $n = 3$ via a linear-nonlinear decomposition

Ben Dodson

October 12, 2009

Abstract: In this paper, we prove global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing, cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation in three dimensions when $n = 3$ when $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbf{R}^3)$, $s > 3/4$. To this end, we utilize a linear-nonlinear decomposition, similar to the decomposition used in [12] for the wave equation.

1 Introduction

The defocusing, cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation in three dimensions,

$$\begin{aligned} iu_t + \Delta u &= |u|^2 u, \\ u(0,x) &= u_0(x) \in H^s(\mathbf{R}^3). \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

has been the subject of a great deal of attention recently. In [4] it was proved that (1.1) has a local solution on some interval $[0,T)$, $T(\|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^3)}) > 0$ when $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbf{R}^3)$, $s > 1/2$. There is also a local solution on $[0,T)$, $T(u_0) > 0$ when $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^3)$. In this case $T > 0$ depends on the profile of the initial data, not just its size. If $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^3)}$ is small, then (1.1) is globally well-posed and scatters.

If $s > 1/2$ and a solution to (1.1) only exists on a maximal interval $[0,T_*)$, $T_* < \infty$, then

$$\lim_{t \nearrow T_*} \|u(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^3)} = \infty. \tag{1.2}$$

[3] proved (1.1) is globally well-posed in the defocusing case when $s = 1$, due to the conservation of mass and energy.

$$M(u(t)) = \int |u(t,x)|^2 dx = M(u(0)), \tag{1.3}$$

$$E(u(t)) = \frac{1}{2}\int |\nabla u(t,x)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{4}\int |u(t,x)|^4 dx = E(u(0)). \tag{1.4}$$

Remark: This argument will not work for the focusing equation since

$$E(u(t)) = \frac{1}{2}\int |\nabla u(t,x)|^2 dx - \frac{1}{4}\int |u(t,x)|^4 dx,$$

is not positive definite.

Recently, the gap between regularity necessary for local well-posedness and global well-posedness has been narrowed. In [6], the I-method was introduced, proving global well-posedness of (1.1) for $s > 5/6$. In [7], an interaction Morawetz estimate was proved, thereby improving the result to $s > 4/5$.

In a parallel vein, the I-method has also been applied to the semilinear wave equation,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{tt} u - \Delta u &= -u^3, \\ u(0,x) &\in H^s(\mathbf{R}^3), \\ u_t(0,x) &\in H^{s-1}(\mathbf{R}^3). \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

In [12], a linear-nonlinear decomposition was made, more effectively estimating the energy change for large times. In this paper, we will make a similar argument, proving

Theorem 1.1 *(1.1) is globally well-posed for $s > 3/4$. Additionally,*

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^3)} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^3)}), \tag{1.6}$$

and the solution scatters. There exist $u_{\pm} \in H^s(\mathbf{R}^3)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t\to\infty} \|u(t) - e^{it\Delta}u_+\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^3)} &= 0, \\ \lim_{t\to\infty} \|u(-t) - e^{-it\Delta}u_-\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^3)} &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

In §2, some preliminary facts that are needed will be mentioned. In §3, a local well-posedness result will be proved. In §4, a formula for the energy increment will be computed. In §5 a smoothing estimate using a bilinear estimate will be proved. In §6, the double-layer I-decomposition will be used to prove the theorem.

2 Preliminaries

Suppose $\phi(x)$ is a smooth function,

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & |x| \leq 1; \\ 0, & |x| > 2. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

Then it is possible to make a high-low decomposition,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(P_{\leq N} u) &= \hat{u}(\xi)\phi(\frac{\xi}{N}), \\ \mathcal{F}(P_{> N} u) &= \hat{u}(\xi)(1 - \phi(\frac{\xi}{N})), \\ u = P_{\leq N} u + P_{> N} u &= u_l + u_h. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Also, define the standard Littlewood - Paley decomposition,

$$P_N f = u_{\leq 2N} - u_{\leq N}. \tag{2.3}$$

We let $u_{<N} = P_{<N} u$, similarly for u_N and $u_{>N}$.

The I-operator is a Fourier multiplier given by a smooth, radially symmetric symbol,

$$I_N : H^s(\mathbf{R}^3) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbf{R}^3), \tag{2.4}$$

$$(I_N f)(\xi) = m_N(\xi)\hat{f}(\xi), \tag{2.5}$$

$$m_N(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1, & |\xi| \leq N; \\ (\frac{N}{|\xi|})^{1-s}, & |\xi| > 2N. \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

For the rest of the paper, we understand that If refers to the function $I_N f$. The operator obeys the following estimates,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla I u\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^3)} &\lesssim N^{1-s} \|u\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^3)}, \\ \|u\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^3)} &\lesssim \|Iu\|_{H^1(\mathbf{R}^3)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

$$\|P_{>M}u\|_{L_t^pL_x^q(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim (\frac{1}{M}+\frac{1}{N^{1-s}M^s})\|\nabla Iu\|_{L_t^pL_x^q(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}. \tag{2.8}$$

$$\||\nabla|^{1/2}P_{>M}u\|_{L_t^pL_x^q(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim (\frac{1}{M^{1/2}}+\frac{1}{N^{1-s}M^{s-1/2}})\|\nabla Iu\|_{L_t^pL_x^q(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}. \tag{2.9}$$

Strichartz Estimates: A pair (p,q) will be called an admissible pair if

$$\frac{2}{p}=3(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}). \tag{2.10}$$

We will also define the Strichartz space (see for example [15]),

$$\|u\|_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}=\sup_{(p,q)\text{ admissible}}\|u\|_{L_t^pL_x^q(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}, \tag{2.11}$$

as well as its dual,

$$\|u\|_{N^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}=\inf_{(p',q')\text{ admissible}}\|u\|_{L_t^{p'}L_x^{q'}(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}, \tag{2.12}$$

where p', q' refers to the dual exponent. If $u(t,x)$ solves the equation

$$\begin{aligned} iu_t+\Delta u &= F(t), \\ u(0,x) &= u_0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

$$\|u\|_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^3)}+\|F\|_{N^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}. \tag{2.14}$$

Interaction Morawetz Estimate

Theorem 2.1 *If $u(t,x)$ solves (1.1), then*

$$\|u\|_{L_{t,x}^4(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}^4 \lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}^2\|u\|_{L_t^\infty\dot{H}_x^{1/2}(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}^2. \tag{2.15}$$

Proof: See [7].

Bilinear Estimate

Lemma 2.2 *Suppose*

$$u(t,x) = e^{it\Delta} u_0 + \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta} F(\tau, x) d\tau, \tag{2.16}$$

and

$$v(t,x) = e^{it\Delta} v_0 + \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta} G(\tau, x) d\tau, \tag{2.17}$$

with u_0, F *supported on* $N \leq |\xi| \leq 2N$ *and* v_0, G *supported on* $M \leq |\xi| \leq 2M$, $N << M$. *Then for any* $\delta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|uv\|_{L^2_{t,x}(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \leq C(\delta) \frac{N^{1-\delta}}{M^{1/2-\delta}} (\|u_0\|_{L^2_x(\mathbf{R}^3)} + \|F\|_{L^1_t L^2_x(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}) \\ \times (\|v_0\|_{L^2_x(\mathbf{R}^3)} + \|G\|_{L^1_t L^2_x(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Proof: See [8].

3 Local Well-posedness

In this section we prove local well-posedness when $\|u\|_{L^4_{t,x}(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}$ is small. To that end, we prove that the norm of u is controlled by the norm of Iu.

Lemma 3.1 *If* $\|u\|_{L^4_{t,x}(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \leq \epsilon$, *and* $I : H^s(\mathbf{R}^3) \to H^1(\mathbf{R}^3)$, $1/2 < s < 1$, *then*

$$\|u\|_{L^6_t L^{9/2}_x (J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim (\epsilon^{2/3} + \frac{1}{N^{1/2}})(\|\nabla I u\|_{S^0(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} + 1). \tag{3.1}$$

Proof: Make a Littlewood-Paley partition of unity.

$$\|P_{\leq N} u\|_{L^\infty_t L^6_x (J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim \|\nabla P_{\leq N} u\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x (J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \leq \|\nabla I u\|_{S^0(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}. \tag{3.2}$$

Interpolating with $\|P_{\leq N} u\|_{L^4_{t,x}(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \leq \epsilon$,

$$\|P_{\leq N} u\|_{L^6_t L^{9/2}_x (J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim \epsilon^{2/3} \|\nabla I u\|^{1/3}_{S^0(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}.$$

This takes care of the $P_{\leq N}$ part. On the other hand,

$$\|P_{N_j}u\|_{L_t^6 L_x^{9/2}(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim N_j^{1/2}\|u\|_{L_t^6 L_x^{18/7}(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{1-s}}\frac{1}{N_j^{s-1/2}}\|\nabla I u\|_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}. \tag{3.3}$$

Summing over $N_j \gtrsim N$ gives the bound for $P_{>N}u$. □

Theorem 3.2 *Suppose J is an interval such that*

$$\|u\|_{L_{t,x}^4(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \leq \epsilon,$$

and $E(Iu_0) \leq 1$. Then (1.1) is locally well-posed on J, and

$$\|\nabla I u\|_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim 1. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof: A solution satisfies the Duhamel formula,

$$Iu(t,x) = e^{it\Delta}Iu_0 + \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta} I(|u|^2u)(\tau)d\tau. \tag{3.5}$$

Using (2.14),

$$\|\nabla I u\|_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim \|\nabla I u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^3)} + \|\nabla I u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}\|u\|^2_{L_t^6 L_x^{9/2}(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}$$

$$\lesssim \|\nabla I u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^3)} + (\epsilon^{4/3} + \frac{1}{N})(\|\nabla I u\|^{5/3}_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} + \|\nabla I u\|^3_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}).$$

Applying the continuity method proves the theorem. □

4 Energy Increment

In this section we prove an estimate on the energy increment. For short time the estimate is identical to the estimate found in [7], however, this estimate is more conducive to long-time energy estimates, which will be used in later sections.

Theorem 4.1 *If u is a solution to (1.1), and J is an interval with*

$$\|u\|^4_{L_{t,x}^4(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \leq \epsilon, \tag{4.1}$$

then

$$\sup_{t_1, t_2 \in J} |E(Iu(t_1)) - E(Iu(t_2))| \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{1-}} \|\nabla I P_{>cN} u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}^2 + O(\frac{1}{N^{2-}}), \tag{4.2}$$

where $c > 0$ is some constant.

Proof: To prove this, recall the formula for energy, (1.4),

$$E(Iu(t)) = \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla Iu(t,x)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{4} \int |Iu(t,x)|^4 dx. \tag{4.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} E(Iu(t)) = & -Re \int (I\partial_t u(t,x)) I(|u(t,x)|^2 \overline{u(t,x)}) dx \\ & + Re \int (I\partial_t u(t,x)) |Iu(t,x)|^2 \overline{Iu(t,x)} dx. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Since

$$Iu_t = i\Delta Iu - iI(|u|^2 u),$$

it suffices to estimate two terms separately.

$$Re \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int (i\Delta Iu(t,x))[I(|u(t,x)|^2 \overline{u(t,x)}) - |Iu(t,x)|^2 Iu(t,x)] dx dt, \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$Re \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int (iI(|u(t,x)|^2 u(t,x)))[I(|u(t,x)|^2 \overline{u(t,x)}) - |Iu(t,x)|^2 \overline{Iu(t,x)}] dx dt. \tag{4.6}$$

The term (4.5):

$$\begin{aligned} (4.5) = Re \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Sigma} & (i|\xi_1|^2 \widehat{Iu}(t,\xi_1))[\frac{m(\xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4)}{m(\xi_2) m(\xi_3) m(\xi_4)} - 1] \\ & \times \widehat{\overline{Iu}}(t,\xi_2) \widehat{Iu}(t,\xi_3) \widehat{\overline{Iu}}(t,\xi_4) d\xi dt, \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

where $\Sigma = \{\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4 = 0\}$ and $d\xi$ is the Lebesgue measure on the hyperplane. Take a Littlewood-Paley partition of unity. Without loss of generality let $N_2 \geq N_3 \geq N_4$. Consider a number of cases separately.

Case 1, $N_2 << N$: In this case

$$\frac{m(\xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4)}{m(\xi_2) m(\xi_3) m(\xi_4)} - 1 \equiv 0.$$

Case 2, $N_2 \gtrsim N$, $N_3 << N$:

Case 2(a): $N_4 \geq \frac{1}{N^2}$ In this case, apply the fundamental theorem of calculus.

$$|\frac{m(N_2 + N_3 + N_4)}{m(N_2)} - 1| \lesssim |\nabla m(N_2)| N_3 \lesssim \frac{N_3}{N_2}.$$

Therefore,

$$(4.5) \lesssim \sum_{N \lesssim N_1 \sim N_2} \frac{N_1}{N_2^2} \|P_{N_1} \nabla I u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6 (J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \|P_{N_2} \nabla I u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6 (J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}$$

$$\times \sum_{\frac{1}{N^2} \leq N_4 \leq N_3 << N} N_3 \|P_{N_3} I u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2 (J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \|P_{N_4} I u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^6 (J \times \mathbf{R}^3)},$$

$$\lesssim \|\nabla I u\|_{S^0(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}^2 \sum_{N \lesssim N_1 \sim N_2} \frac{\ln(N)}{N_1} \|P_{N_1} \nabla I u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6 (J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \|P_{N_2} \nabla I u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6 (J \times \mathbf{R}^3)},$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{N^{1-}} \|P_{> cN} \nabla I u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6 (J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}^2 \|\nabla I u\|_{S^0(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}^2.$$

The last estimate is by Cauchy-Schwartz.

Case 2(b), $N_4 \leq \frac{1}{N^2}$*:* In this case, combine the Sobolev embedding theorem with the bound on $\|u\|_{L_{t,x}^4 (J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}$,

$$\|P_{N_4} u\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty (J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim N_4^{3/4} \|P_{N_4} u\|_{L_{t,x}^4 (J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim \epsilon N_4^{3/4}. \tag{4.8}$$

In this case,

$$(4.5) \lesssim \sum_{N \lesssim N_1 \sim N_2} \frac{N_1}{N_2^2} \|P_{N_1} \nabla I u\|_{L_t^4 L_x^3 (J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \|P_{N_2} \nabla I u\|_{L_t^4 L_x^3 (J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}$$

$$\times \sum_{N_4 \leq \frac{1}{N^2}; N_4 \leq N_3 << N} N_3 \|P_{N_3} I u\|_{L_t^4 L_x^3 (J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \|P_{N_4} I u\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty (J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{\epsilon}{N^{5/2-}} \|\nabla I u\|_{S^0(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}^3.$$

Case 3, $N_2 \gtrsim N$, $N_3 \gtrsim N$, $N_2 \sim N_1$**:**

Case 3(a), $N_4 \geq \frac{1}{N^2}$*:* In this case, crudely estimate

$$|\frac{m(N_2+N_3+N_4)}{m(N_2)m(N_3)m(N_4)} - 1| \lesssim \frac{1}{m(N_3)m(N_4)}.$$

$$(4.5) \lesssim \sum_{N_1 \sim N_2} \frac{N_1}{N_2} \|P_{N_1} \nabla Iu\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \|P_{N_2} \nabla Iu\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}$$

$$\times \sum_{N_3 \gtrsim N; N_4 \geq \frac{1}{N^2}} \frac{1}{N_3 m(N_3) m(N_4)} \|P_{N_3} \nabla Iu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \|P_{N_4} Iu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^6(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)},$$

$$\sum_{\frac{1}{N^2} \leq N_4 \leq N_3; N \lesssim N_3 \leq N_2} \frac{1}{N_3 m(N_3) m(N_4)} \lesssim \sum_{N \lesssim N_3 \leq N_2} \frac{1}{N_3 m(N_3)} (\ln(N) + \frac{N_3^{1-s}}{N^{1-s}}) \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{1-}}.$$

Summing $N_1 \sim N_2$ by Cauchy - Schwartz,

$$(4.5) \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{1-}} \|P_{>cN} \nabla Iu\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}^2 \|\nabla Iu\|_{S^0(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}^2.$$

Case 3(b), $N_4 \leq \frac{1}{N^2}$*:* In this case,

$$|\frac{m(N_2+N_3+N_4)}{m(N_2)m(N_3)m(N_4)} - 1| \lesssim \frac{1}{m(N_3)}.$$

Once again, use the Sobolev embedding theorem combined with $\|u\|_{L_{t,x}^4(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \leq \epsilon$.

$$(4.5) \lesssim \sum_{N \lesssim N_1 \sim N_2} \frac{N_1}{N_2} \|P_{N_1} \nabla Iu\|_{L_t^4 L_x^3(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \|P_{N_2} \nabla Iu\|_{L_t^4 L_x^3(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}$$

$$\times \sum_{N_4 \leq \frac{1}{N^2}; N_3 \gtrsim N} \frac{1}{N_3 m(N_3)} \|P_{N_3} \nabla Iu\|_{L_t^4 L_x^3(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \|P_{N_4} Iu\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{\epsilon}{N^{5/2-}} \|\nabla I u\|^3_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}.$$

Here again we use Cauchy-Schwartz in $N_1 \sim N_2$.

Case 4, $N_2 \gtrsim N$**,** $N_2 \sim N_3$**,** $N_1 \lesssim N_2$**:**

Case 4(a), $N_4 \geq \frac{1}{N^2}$*:* Once again, make the crude estimate on the multiplier.

$$(4.5) \lesssim \sum_{N\lesssim N_2\sim N_3} \frac{1}{m(N_3)N_3N_2} \|P_{N_2}\nabla I u\|_{L_t^2L_x^6(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \|P_{N_3}\nabla I u\|_{L_t^2L_x^6(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}$$

$$\times \sum_{N_1\lesssim N_2;\frac{1}{N^2}\leq N_4\leq N_3} \frac{N_1}{m(N_4)} \|P_{N_1}\nabla I u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \|P_{N_4} I u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^6(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{N^{1-}} \|P_{>cN}\nabla I u\|^2_{L_t^2L_x^6(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \|\nabla I u\|^2_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}.$$

Case 4(b), $N_4 \leq \frac{1}{N^2}$*:* As usual, use the Sobolev embedding.

$$(4.5) \lesssim \sum_{N\lesssim N_2\sim N_3} \frac{1}{N_2 m(N_3)N_3} \|P_{N_2}\nabla I u\|_{L_t^4L_x^3(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \|P_{N_3}\nabla I u\|_{L_t^4L_x^3(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}$$

$$\times \sum_{N_4\leq\frac{1}{N^2};N_1\lesssim N_2} N_1 \|P_{N_1}\nabla I u\|_{L_t^4L_x^3(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \|P_{N_4} I u\|_{L_t^4L_x^\infty(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{\epsilon}{N^{5/2-}} \|\nabla I u\|^3_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}.$$

Combining all these cases together proves theorem 4.1 for (4.5).

The term (4.6) : To estimate this term, a lemma is needed.

Lemma 4.2

$$\|P_M I(|u|^2 u)\|_{L^2_{t,x}(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim (\frac{1}{M} + \frac{1}{N}) \|\nabla I u\|^3_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}. \tag{4.9}$$

Proof: Decompose u. Let $u_l = P_{\leq N} u$ and $u_l + u_h = u$.

$$\|\nabla I(|u_l|^2 u_l)\|_{L^2_{t,x}(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \leq \|\nabla I u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \|u_l\|^2_{L_t^\infty L_x^6(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim \|\nabla I u\|^3_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}.$$

$$\|\nabla I(|u_l|^2 u_h)\|_{L^2_{t,x}(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \leq \|\nabla I u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \|u_l\|^2_{L_t^\infty L_x^6(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim \|\nabla I u\|^3_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}.$$

Make a similar argument for $u_l^2 \bar{u}_h$.

$$\|\nabla I(|u_h|^2 u_l)\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{6/5}(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \leq \|\nabla I u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \|u_l\|^2_{L_t^\infty L_x^6(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \|u_h\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{N} \|\nabla I u\|^3_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}.$$

Then apply the Sobolev embedding theorem. Make a similar argument for $u_h^2 \bar{u}_l$. Here we applied (2.8) and (2.9),

$$\|P_{>N} u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim \frac{1}{N} \|\nabla I u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}. \tag{4.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla I(|u_h|^2 u_h)\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{6/5}(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} &\lesssim \|\nabla I u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \|u_h\|^2_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}_x^{1/2}(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{N} \|\nabla I u\|^3_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Finally, using the elementary inequality,

$$\|P_M I(f(t,x))\|_{L^2_{t,x}(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim \frac{1}{M} \|\nabla I(f(t,x))\|_{L^2_{t,x}(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}, \tag{4.12}$$

gives the lemma. □

The nonlinear term is a 6-linear term. Let $\xi_{123} = \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3$ and $N_{123} = N_1 + N_2 + N_3$.

$$\begin{aligned} (4.6) = -\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Sigma} i\widehat{I(|u|^2 u)}(t,\xi_{123}) &[\frac{m(\xi_4+\xi_5+\xi_6)}{m(\xi_4)m(\xi_5)m(\xi_6)} - 1] \\ &\times \widehat{\overline{Iu}}(t,\xi_4)\widehat{Iu}(t,\xi_5)\widehat{\overline{Iu}}(t,\xi_6) d\xi dt, \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

where $\Sigma = \{\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4 + \xi_5 + \xi_6 = 0\}$ and $d\xi$ is the measure on the hyperplane. Make a Littlewood-Paley partition of unity and assume without loss of generality $N_4 \geq N_5 \geq N_6$.

Case 1, $N_4 << N$: In this case the multiplier is $\equiv 0$.

Case 2, $N_4 \gtrsim N$, $N_5 << N$: In this case the fundamental theorem of calculus will again be used. Because $N_5, N_6 << N_4$, $N_{123} \sim N_4$.

Case 2(a), $N_6 \geq \frac{1}{N^2}$*:*

$$|\frac{m(\xi_4 + \xi_5 + \xi_6)}{m(\xi_4)m(\xi_5)m(\xi_6)} - 1| \lesssim \frac{|\xi_5|}{|\xi_4|}. \tag{4.14}$$

$$(4.6) \lesssim \sum_{N \lesssim N_4 \sim N_{123}} \frac{1}{N_4} \|P_{N_{123}} I(|u|^2 u)\|_{L^2_{t,x}(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \|P_{N_4} Iu\|_{L^2_t L^6_x(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}$$

$$\times \sum_{\frac{1}{N^2} \leq N_6 \leq N_5 << N} N_5 \|P_{N_5} Iu\|_{L^\infty_t L^6_x(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \|P_{N_6} Iu\|_{L^\infty_t L^6_x(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}$$

$$\lesssim \ln(N) N \|\nabla Iu\|^6_{S^0(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \sum_{N \lesssim N_4 \sim N_{123}} \frac{1}{N_4^2}(\frac{1}{N_{123}} + \frac{1}{N}) \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{2-}} \|\nabla Iu\|^6_{S^0(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}.$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{N^{2-}} \|\nabla Iu\|^6_{S^0(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}.$$

Case 2(b): $N_6 \leq \frac{1}{N^2}$*:*

$$(4.6) \lesssim \sum_{N \lesssim N_{123} \sim N_4} \frac{1}{N_4} \|P_{N_{123}} I(|u|^2 u)\|_{L^2_{t,x}(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \|P_{N_4} Iu\|_{L^4_t L^3_x(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}$$

$$\times \sum_{N_6 \leq N_5 << N; N_6 \leq \frac{1}{N^2}} N_5 \|P_{N_5} Iu\|_{L^\infty_t L^6_x(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \|P_{N_6} Iu\|_{L^4_t L^\infty_x(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{N \lesssim N_{123} \sim N_4} (\frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{N_{123}}) \frac{N}{N_4^2} \frac{1}{N^{3/2}} \|\nabla Iu\|^5_{S^0(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \epsilon$$

$$\lesssim \frac{\epsilon}{N^{7/2-}} \|\nabla I u\|_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}^5.$$

Case 3, $N_5 \gtrsim N$, $N_4 \sim N_{123}$: Here make the crude estimate,

$$|\frac{m(\xi_4+\xi_5+\xi_6)}{m(\xi_4)m(\xi_5)m(\xi_6)} - 1| \lesssim \frac{1}{m(\xi_5)m(\xi_6)}. \tag{4.15}$$

Case 3(a), $N_6 \geq \frac{1}{N^2}$:

$$(4.6) \lesssim \sum_{N\lesssim N_4\sim N_{123}} \|P_{N_{123}} I(|u|^2 u)\|_{L^2_{t,x}(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \|P_{N_4} I u\|_{L^2_t L^6_x(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}$$

$$\times \sum_{\frac{1}{N^2}\leq N_6\leq N_5; N\lesssim N_5} \frac{1}{m(N_5)m(N_6)} \|P_{N_5} I u\|_{L^\infty_t L^6_x(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \|P_{N_6} I u\|_{L^\infty_t L^6_x(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}.$$

$$\sum_{\frac{1}{N^2}\leq N_6\leq N_5} \frac{\|P_{N_6} I u\|_{L^\infty_t L^6_x(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}}{m(N_6)} \lesssim (\ln(N) + \frac{N_5^{1-s}}{N^{1-s}}) \|\nabla I u\|_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}.$$

$$\|\nabla I u\|_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \sum_{N\lesssim N_5\lesssim N_4} \frac{1}{m(N_5)} \|P_{N_5} I u\|_{L^\infty_t L^6_x(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} (\ln(N) + \frac{N_5^{1-s}}{N^{1-s}})$$

$$\lesssim (\ln(N)^2 + \frac{N_4^{2(1-s)}}{N^{2(1-s)}}) \|\nabla I u\|_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}^2.$$

So in this case,

$$(4.6) \lesssim \|\nabla I u\|_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}^6 \sum_{N\lesssim N_4\sim N_{123}} \frac{1}{N} (\ln(N) + \frac{N_4^{1-s}}{N^{1-s}})^2 \frac{1}{N_4} \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{2-}} \|\nabla I u\|_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}^6.$$

Case 3(b), $N_6 \leq \frac{1}{N^2}$:

$$(4.6) \lesssim \sum_{N\lesssim N_{123}\sim N_4} \|P_{N_{123}} I(|u|^2 u)\|_{L^2_{t,x}(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \|P_{N_4} I u\|_{L^4_t L^3_x(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}$$

$$\times \sum_{N_5 \gtrsim N; N_6 \leq \frac{1}{N^2}} \frac{1}{m(N_5)} \|P_{N_5} Iu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^6(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \|P_{N_6} Iu\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{N \lesssim N_{123} \sim N_4} (\frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{N_{123}}) \frac{1}{N_4} \frac{N_4^{1-s}}{N^{1-s}} \frac{\epsilon}{N^{3/2}} \|\nabla Iu\|^5_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{\epsilon}{N^{7/2-}} \|\nabla Iu\|^5_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}.$$

Case 4, $N_5 \gtrsim N$, $N_4 \sim N_5$, $N_{123} \lesssim N_4$: Once again use the crude estimate.

Case 4(a), $N_6 \geq \frac{1}{N^2}$*:*

$$(4.6) \lesssim \sum_{N \lesssim N_4 \sim N_5} \frac{1}{m(N_5)} \|P_{N_4} I(u)\|_{L_t^4 L_x^3(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \|P_{N_5} Iu\|_{L_t^4 L_x^3(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}$$

$$\times[\sum_{\frac{1}{N^2} \leq N_6 \leq N_5; \frac{1}{N^2} \leq N_{123} \lesssim N_4} \frac{1}{m(N_6)} \|P_{N_{123}} I(|u|^2 u)\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \|P_{N_6} Iu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^6(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}$$

$$+ \sum_{\frac{1}{N^2} \leq N_6 \leq N_5; N_{123} \leq \frac{1}{N^2}} \frac{1}{m(N_6)} \|P_{N_{123}} I(|u|^2 u)\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \|P_{N_6} Iu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^3(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}].$$

$$\lesssim \|\nabla Iu\|^6_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \sum_{N \lesssim N_4 \sim N_5} \frac{1}{N_4 N_5 m(N_5)}$$

$$\times[\sum_{\frac{1}{N^2} \leq N_6 \leq N_5; \frac{1}{N^2} \leq N_{123} \lesssim N_4} \frac{1}{m(N_6)} (1 + \frac{N_{123}}{N}) + \sum_{\frac{1}{N^2} \leq N_6 \leq N_5; N_{123} \leq \frac{1}{N^2}} (\frac{N_{123}^{3/2}}{N} + N_{123}^{1/2}) \frac{1}{N_6^{1/2}}]$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{N^{2-}} \|\nabla Iu\|^6_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}.$$

Case 4(b), $N_6 \leq \frac{1}{N^2}$*:*

$$(4.6) \lesssim \sum_{N \lesssim N_4 \sim N_5} \frac{1}{m(N_5)} \|P_{N_4} Iu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \|P_{N_5} Iu\|_{L_t^4 L_x^3(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}$$

$$\times [\sum_{N_{123} \leq \frac{1}{N^2}; N_6 \leq \frac{1}{N^2}} \|P_{N_{123}} Iu\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \|P_{N_6} Iu\|_{L_t^4 L_x^6(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}$$

$$+ \sum_{\frac{1}{N^2} \leq N_{123} \lesssim N_4; N_6 \leq \frac{1}{N^2}} \|P_{N_{123}} Iu\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \|P_{N_6} Iu\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}]$$

$$\lesssim \|\nabla Iu\|_{S^0(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}^5 \sum_{N \lesssim N_4 \sim N_5} \frac{1}{N_4 N_5 m(N_5)} [\frac{\epsilon}{N^{3/2}} + (\ln(N) + \frac{N_4}{N}) \frac{\epsilon}{N^{3/2}}]$$

$$\lesssim \frac{\epsilon}{N^{7/2-}} \|\nabla Iu\|_{S^0(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}^5.$$

This concludes the proof of theorem 4.1. □

5 A Smoothing Estimate

In this section we take advantage of lemma 2.2 to prove a smoothing-type estimate for the Duhamel term.

Lemma 5.1 *Take $N_j \leq N$, if $\|u\|_{L_{t,x}^4(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \leq \epsilon$, then*

$$\|P_{N_j} |u|^2 u\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim \frac{1}{N_j} \|P_{N_j} \nabla I(|u|^2 u)\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim \frac{1}{N_j} \|\nabla Iu\|_{S^0(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}^3. \tag{5.1}$$

Proof: The first inequality follows from Littlewood-Paley theory.

$$\|P_{N_j} \nabla I(|u|^2 u)\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim \|\nabla Iu\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}$$
$$\times (\|P_{\leq 1} u\|_{L_t^4 L_x^6(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}^2 + \|P_{>1} u\|_{L_t^4 L_x^6(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}^2).$$

By the Sobolev embedding theorem,

$$\|P_{\leq 1} u\|_{L_t^4 L_x^6(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim \|u\|_{L_{t,x}^4(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\|P_{N_k}u\|_{L_t^4L_x^6(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim N_k^{1/2}\|P_{N_k}u\|_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|P_{>1}u\|_{L_t^4L_x^6(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim \sum_{1\leq N_k\leq N}\frac{1}{N_k^{1/2}}\|\nabla Iu\|_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}$$

$$+\sum_{N_k>N}\frac{1}{N_k^{s-1/2}N^{1-s}}\|\nabla Iu\|_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim \|\nabla Iu\|_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}.$$

□

Theorem 5.2 *Suppose* $J=[0,T]$ *is an interval with* $\|u\|_{L^4_{t,x}(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}\leq\epsilon$ *and* $\|\nabla Iu_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^3)}\leq 1$. *The solution has the Duhamel form*

$$u(t)=e^{it\Delta}u_0+\int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta}(|u|^2u)(\tau)d\tau = u^l(t)+u^{nl}(t), \qquad (5.2)$$

with

$$\|P_{>N}\nabla Iu^{nl}\|_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{1/2-}}(\|\nabla Iu\|_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}+\|\nabla Iu\|^7_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}). \qquad (5.3)$$

Proof: Split $u=u_l+u_h$ with $u_l=P_{\leq N/20}u$. Since $P_{>N}|u_l|^2u_l\equiv 0$, it suffices to consider $O(u^2u_h)$.

$$\|\nabla I(|u_l|^2u_h)\|_{N^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim \|\nabla IO((P_{>1}u_l)(P_{>\frac{1}{N^2}}u_l)u_h)\|_{L_t^2L_x^{6/5}(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}$$

$$+\|\nabla IO((P_{\leq 1}u_l)(P_{>\frac{1}{N^2}}u_l)u_h)\|_{L_t^{4/3}L_x^{3/2}(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}$$

$$+\|\nabla I(|u_{\leq\frac{1}{N^2}}|^2u_h)\|_{L_t^1L_x^2(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}$$

$$\lesssim \Big(\sum_{N_j>N/2;\,\frac{1}{N^2}\leq N_k\leq\frac{N}{20}}\frac{1}{N_k}\frac{N_k^{1-\delta}}{N_j^{1/2-\delta}}\Big)\|\nabla Iu\|_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}(\|\nabla Iu\|^2_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}+\|\nabla Iu\|^6_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)})$$

$$+\Big(\sum_{N_j > N/2;\, \frac{1}{N^2} \leq N_k \leq \frac{N}{20}} \frac{1}{N_k} \frac{N_k^{1-\delta}}{N_j^{1/2-\delta}}\Big)(\|\nabla Iu\|_{S^0(J\times \mathbf{R}^3)}^2 + \|\nabla Iu\|_{S^0(J\times \mathbf{R}^3)}^6)\epsilon$$

$$+\frac{1}{N^{1/2}} \|\nabla Iu\|_{S^0(J\times \mathbf{R}^3)} \epsilon^2$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{N^{1/2-}} \|\nabla Iu\|_{S^0(J\times \mathbf{R}^3)} (1 + \|\nabla Iu\|_{S^0(J\times \mathbf{R}^3)}^6).$$

The term $u_l^2 \bar{u}_h$ follows similarly. The other terms are easier to estimate.

$$\|\nabla I(|u_h|^2 u_l)\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{6/5}(J\times \mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim \|\nabla Iu\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6(J\times \mathbf{R}^3)} \|u_h\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(J\times \mathbf{R}^3)} \|u_l\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^6(J\times \mathbf{R}^3)}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{N^{1-}} \|\nabla Iu\|_{S^0(J\times \mathbf{R}^3)}^3.$$

A similar calculation can be made for $\bar{u}_l u_h^2$. Finally,

$$\|\nabla I(|u_h|^2 u_h)\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2(J\times \mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim \|\nabla Iu\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6(J\times \mathbf{R}^3)} \|u_h\|_{L_t^4 L_x^6(J\times \mathbf{R}^3)}^2 \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{1-}} \|\nabla Iu\|_{S^0(J\times \mathbf{R}^3)}^3.$$

This proves the theorem. □

6 Double Layer I-decomposition

Now we finally have enough tools to prove the main theorem.

Theorem 6.1 *Suppose $s > 3/4$. Then (1.1) is globally well-posed on $[0, \infty)$. Moreover, $\|u(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^3)} \leq C(s, \|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^3)})$, and there is scattering.*

Proof: In three dimensions, (1.1) is $\dot{H}^{1/2}$ - critical. That is, if $u(t,x)$ solves (1.1) on $[0,T]$, then $\frac{1}{\lambda} u(\frac{t}{\lambda^2}, \frac{x}{\lambda})$ solves (1.1) on $[0, \lambda^2 T]$. This scaling leaves the $\dot{H}^{1/2}$ norm invariant. We will denote the rescaled solution $u_\lambda(t,x)$.

$$\|u_\lambda(0,x)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^3)} = \lambda^{1/2} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^3)}, \tag{6.1}$$

$$\|u_\lambda(0,x)\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbf{R}^3)} = \lambda^{-1/2} \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbf{R}^3)}. \tag{6.2}$$

Combining the scaling identities with the estimates on the I - operator, (2.7),

$$\int |\nabla I u_{0,\lambda}(x)|^2 dx \leq \frac{CN^{2(1-s)}}{\lambda^{2s-1}} \|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^3)}^2.$$

$$\int |I u_{0,\lambda}(x)|^4 dx \leq \frac{CN^{3-4s}}{\lambda^{4s-2}} \|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^3)}^4.$$

Choose $\lambda \sim N^{\frac{1-s}{s-1/2}}$ so that $E(Iu_0) \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Define a set

$$W = \{t : E(Iu_\lambda(t)) \leq \frac{9}{10}\}. \tag{6.3}$$

Since $0 \in W$, $W \neq \emptyset$. Also, by the dominated convergence theorem, W is closed. So it remains to prove W is open.

If $[0,T] = W$, then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $E(Iu_\lambda(t)) \leq 1$ on $[0, T+\delta]$.

$$\|P_{\leq N} u\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}^{1/2}(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \leq \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^3)}^{1/2} \|\nabla I u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}^{1/2}. \tag{6.4}$$

Also,

$$\|P_{>N} u\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}_x^{1/2}(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \leq \frac{1}{N^{1/2}} \|\nabla I u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}. \tag{6.5}$$

Combining the interaction Morawetz estimates

$$\|u\|_{L_{t,x}^4([0,T+\delta] \times \mathbf{R}^3)}^4 \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^3)}^2 \|u\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}_x^{1/2}(J \times \mathbf{R}^3)}^2, \tag{6.6}$$

(6.4) and (6.5),

$$\|u_\lambda\|_{L_{t,x}^4([0,T+\delta] \times \mathbf{R}^3)}^4 \leq CN^{\frac{3(1-s)}{2s-1}}. \tag{6.7}$$

Partition $[0, T+\delta]$ into $\sim N^{\frac{3(1-s)}{2s-1}}$ subintervals with $\|u_\lambda\|_{L_{t,x}^4(J_k \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \leq \epsilon$ for each J_k.

Now we will make use of a double-layered I-decomposition utilized in [9]. Subdivide $[0, T+\delta]$ into subintervals J_k, each J_k is the union of $N^{1/2-}$ subintervals $J_{k,m}$ with $\|u_\lambda\|_{L_{t,x}^4(J_{k,m} \times \mathbf{R}^3)} \leq \epsilon$ on each such subinterval. We will refer to the intervals J_k as the big intervals, and the subintervals $J_{k,m}$ as the little intervals.

Take the first big interval J_k. Crudely, by (4.2), $E(Iu(t)) \leq 1$ on this big interval. Subdivide $J_k = \cup_{j=0}^{N^{1/2-}} J_{k,m}$, let $J_{k,m} = [a_m, b_m]$, $a_0 = 0$, $a_{m+1} = b_m$. The solution on $J_{k,m}$ will be written in the form

$$e^{i(t-a_m)\Delta}u(a_m) + u_j^{nl}(t) = e^{it\Delta}u_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{m} e^{i(t-a_j)\Delta}u_{j-1}^{nl}(a_j) + u_m^{nl}(t). \tag{6.8}$$

$$\sup_{t_1,t_2 \in J_k} |E(Iu(t_1)) - E(Iu(t_2))| \lesssim \frac{N^{1/2-}}{N^{2-}} + \frac{1}{N^{1-}}\|P_{>cN}\nabla Iu\|_{L_t^2L_x^6(J_k\times\mathbf{R}^3)}^2. \tag{6.9}$$

Now, by (6.8),

$$\begin{aligned}\|P_{>cN}\nabla Iu\|_{L_t^2L_x^6(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \leq \|P_{>cN}\nabla Iu_0\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^3)} &+ \sum_{m=1}^{N^{1/2-}} \|\nabla P_{>cN}Iu_m^{nl}(a_m)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^3)} \\ &+ (\sum_{m=0}^{N^{1/2-}} \|P_{>cN}\nabla Iu_m^{nl}\|_{L_t^2L_x^6(J_{k,m}\times\mathbf{R}^3)}^2)^{1/2}\end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

$$\|\nabla Iu_0\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim 1,$$

which takes care of the first term. Similarly, by (5.3),

$$\sum_{m=1}^{N^{1/2-}} \|\nabla Iu_m^{nl}(a_m)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim \frac{N^{1/2-}}{N^{1/2-}} = 1,$$

which takes care of the second term. Finally,

$$(\sum_{m=0}^{N^{1/2-}} \|P_{>cN}\nabla Iu_m^{nl}\|_{L_t^2L_x^6(J_{k,m}\times\mathbf{R}^3)}^2)^{1/2} \lesssim (\frac{N^{1/2-}}{N^{1-}})^{1/2} \lesssim 1.$$

In particular, this proves

$$\sup_{t_1,t_2 \in J_k} |E(Iu(t_1)) - E(Iu(t_2))| \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{1-}}. \tag{6.11}$$

When $s > 3/4$,

$$CN^{\frac{3(1-s)}{2s-1}} << N^{3/2-},$$

so choosing N sufficiently large proves

$$\sup_{[0,T+\delta]} E(Iu_\lambda(t)) \leq \frac{9}{10}. \tag{6.12}$$

This proves $W = [0, \infty)$.

Finally, we prove scattering, following the argument in [7]. There is some N such that

$$E(Iu_\lambda(t)) \leq 1 \tag{6.13}$$

on $[0, \infty)$. By the interaction Morawetz estimates, (6.7),

$$\|u_\lambda\|_{L^4_{t,x}([0,\infty)\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \leq C. \tag{6.14}$$

Recall that by (3.1),

$$\|u\|_{L^6_t L^{9/2}_x(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim (\epsilon^{2/3} + \frac{1}{N^{1/2}})\|\nabla Iu\|_{S^0(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}. \tag{6.15}$$

Therefore, on each subinterval $J_{k,m}$,

$$\|u\|_{L^6_t L^{9/2}_x(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim (\epsilon^{2/3} + \frac{1}{N^{1/2}}).$$

Let

$$S_s(t) = \sup_{(p,q) \text{ admissible}} \|\langle\nabla\rangle^s u\|_{L^p_t L^q_x([0,t]\times\mathbf{R}^3)}. \tag{6.16}$$

$$S_s(t) \lesssim \|\langle\nabla\rangle^s u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^3)} + \|\langle\nabla\rangle^s u\|_{L^2_t L^6_x(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}\|u\|^2_{L^6_t L^{9/2}_x(J\times\mathbf{R}^3)}$$

$$\lesssim \|\langle\nabla\rangle^s u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^3)} + S_s(t)(\epsilon^{4/3} + \frac{1}{N}).$$

So for $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small and N sufficiently large, this proves $S_s(t)$ is bounded on the first subinterval. Iterating over a finite number of subintervals proves $Z_s(t) \leq C < \infty$ for $t \in [0, \infty)$. In particular, this proves

$$\|u\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^3)} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^3)}). \tag{6.17}$$

Now set

$$u_+ = u_0 + \int_0^\infty e^{-i\tau\Delta}|u(\tau)|^2 u(\tau) d\tau. \tag{6.18}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\langle\nabla\rangle^s(e^{it\Delta}u_+ - u(t,x))\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^3)} = \|\int_t^\infty \langle\nabla\rangle^s e^{-i\tau\Delta}|u(\tau)|^2 u(\tau)d\tau\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^3)}\\&\lesssim \|\langle\nabla\rangle^s u\|_{L_{t,x}^{10/3}([T,\infty)\times\mathbf{R}^3)}\|u\|^2_{L_{t,x}^5([T,\infty)\times\mathbf{R}^3)}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.19}$$

As $T \to \infty$, $\|u\|_{L_{t,x}^4([T,\infty)\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \to 0$, on the other hand,

$$\|u\|_{L_{t,x}^6([0,\infty)\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim \|\langle\nabla\rangle^{2/3}u\|_{L_t^6 L_x^{18/7}([0,\infty)\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \lesssim S_{2/3}(t) < \infty, \tag{6.20}$$

by (6.16). Interpolating proves $\|u\|_{L_{t,x}^5([T,\infty)\times\mathbf{R}^3)} \to 0$ as $T \to \infty$. [7] proved the existence of wave operators, which completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

References

[1] J. Bourgain. Refinements of Strichartz' inequality and applications to 2D-NLS with critical nonlinearity. *International Mathematical Research Notices*, 5:253 – 283, 1998.

[2] J. Bourgain. *Global Solutions of Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations.* American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 1999.

[3] T. Cazenave and F. Weissler. The Cauchy problem for the nonlinear Schrödinger Equation in H^1. *Manuscripta Mathematics*, 61:477 – 494, 1988.

[4] T. Cazenave and F. Weissler. The Cauchy problem for the nonlinear Schrödinger Equation in H^s. *Nonlinear Analysis*, 14:807 – 836, 1990.

[5] J. Colliander, M. Grillakis, and N. Tzirakis. Improved interaction Morawetz inequalities for the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation on $\mathbf{R}^2$. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (23):90 – 119, 2007.

[6] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, and T. Tao. Almost conservation laws and global rough solutions to a nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Mathematical Research Letters*, 9:659 – 682, 2002.

[7] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, and T. Tao. Global existence and scattering for rough solutions of a nonlinear Schrödinger equation on $\mathbf{R}^3$. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 21:987 – 1014, 2004.

[8] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, and T. Tao. Global well-posedness and scattering for the energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in $\mathbb{R}^3$. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 167(3):767–865, 2008.

[9] J. Colliander and T. Roy. Bootstrapped Morawetz estimates and resonant decomposition for low regularity global solutions of cubic NLS on $\mathbf{R}^2$. *preprint, arXiv:0811.1803.*

[10] D. De Silva, N. Pavlovic, G. Staffilani, and N. Tzirakis. Global well-posedness for the L^2-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in higher dimensions. *to appear, Communications on Pure and Applied Analysis.*

[11] M. Keel and T. Tao. Endpoint Strichartz estimates. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 120:955 – 980, 1998.

[12] T. Roy. Adapted linear-nonlinear decomposition and global well-posedness for solutions to the defocusing cubic wave equation on $\mathbb{R}^3$. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 24(4):1307–1323, 2009.

[13] C. Sogge. *Fourier Intergrals in Classical Analysis.* Cambridge University Press, 1993.

[14] E. Stein. *Harmonic Analysis: Real Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals.* Princeton University Press, 1993.

[15] T. Tao. *Nonlinear Dispersive Equations: Local and Global Analysis.* American Mathematical Society, 2006.

[16] M. Taylor. *Pseudodifferential Operators and Nonlinear PDE.* Birkhauser, 1991.

[17] M. Taylor. *Partial Differential Equations.* Springer Verlag Inc., 1996.

[18] Y. Tsutsumi. L^2 solutions for nonlinear Schrödinger equation and nonlinear groups. *Funkcional Ekvacioj*, 30:115 – 125, 1987.